

Communication and Information 660 – Section 1
Topics in Communication and Information:
Introductory Design and Analysis
Fall Semester 2011

Meeting Days	Monday and Wednesday
Meeting Time	4:40p – 5:55p
Meeting Place	420 COM
Instructor	Dr. Michael R. Kotowski

Course Description

This course provides an examination of several introductory research design and analysis techniques. The primary topics covered will be bivariate and multivariate regression/correlation, and analysis of variance. The course will be taught in lecture/discussion format. **It is essential that students read and study the assigned texts before coming to class.**

Course Objectives

- Understand and implement commonly used correlation and regression techniques.
- Understand and implement commonly used analysis of variance techniques.
- Develop ability to use these methods in research.
- Improve ability to reason quantitatively.

Contact Information

Given the size and level of this class, I will likely learn most of your names over the course of the semester. Thus, I will refer to you by your first name and you are welcome to do the same with me. If you are uncomfortable with that level of informality, let me know and I will adapt accordingly.

Office: 293 COM

I am willing to meet at places other than my office. If you prefer to meet elsewhere, such as a coffee shop, the library, etc., it can be arranged.

Office Phone: (865) 974-7070

You can leave a message for me at the above number. I cannot, however, guarantee that I will be in the office everyday to check my messages. Consequently, if you leave a message for me it may be a day or two before it is retrieved.

Email: mkotowsk@utk.edu

I regularly check my email. Email is, therefore, the best way to contact me.

Office Hours: 4:00p – 5:00p Tuesday and 3:30p – 4:30p Wednesday

If you cannot make these hours, you are welcome to make an appointment for another time. Contacting me by email is the best way of making one of these appointments.

Please do not see office hours exclusively as a time to address problems. You can use them to clarify points you do not understand, to get additional reading material, to talk about the subject matter in relation to your other interests, to review work in progress, to offer feedback or input about the course, to talk about employment or research possibilities, or for other reasons. In other words, you do not need a crisis to make productive use of this time.

Texts

Required

- Cohen, J., Cohen, P., West, S. G., & Aiken, L. S. (2003). *Applied multiple regression/correlation analysis for the behavioral sciences* (3rd ed.). Mahwah, NJ: LEA.
- Keppel, G., & Wickens, T. D. (2004). *Design and analysis: A researcher's handbook* (4th ed.). Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall.

Recommended

- Abelson, R. P. (1995). *Statistics as Principled Argument*. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- American Psychological Association. (2010). *Publication Manual of the American Psychological Association*. (6th ed.), Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.
- Cohen, J. (1988). *Statistical Power Analysis for the Behavioral Sciences*. (2nd ed.), New York, NY: Routledge.

Journal Articles and Book Chapters

- Hayes, W. L. (1994). *Statistics*. (5th ed.), Pacific Grove, CA: Wadsworth Publishing.
- MacCallum, R. C., Zhang, S., Preacher, K. J., & Rucker, D. D. (2002). On the practice of dichotomization of quantitative variables. *Psychological Methods*, 7, 19-40.
- Salovey, P. (2000). Results that get results: Telling a good story. In R. J. Sternberg (Ed.) *Guide to Publishing in Psychology Journals*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.

Whenever you have comments about the readings, I would appreciate the feedback.

Evaluation

The purpose of assigning grades in a course is to assess the extent to which the students in the course mastered the material covered. Because it is your job as a student to master the substantive content in the course and it is my job as an instructor to help you master that content, for both of our benefits, I strive to create a valid and reliable method of evaluation. More information and specific instructions for each assignment and exam will be provided throughout the semester.

Problem Sets (total about 120 pts)

The problem sets require the execution of material learned in class and usually consist of short data based practice problems similar to what one would see in actual research practice. These problem sets will require computations be performed to obtain the correct answer. Each problem set is graded on a scale ranging from 0 to 10 points.

To be accepted, completed assignments must: 1) be neat and readable (i.e., written responses should be clear and answers to math problems circled), 2) show each step to any math problem involving hand computations (this aids in finding how you thought through your answers), 3) provide and label all relevant documents for computer-generated answers (i.e., provide the SPSS output and syntax with answers labeled on the printout), and 4) be turned in, in class, on the due date.

Examinations (total 200 pts)

The examinations test your understanding of the substantive content covered in this course and are composed of essay items. They do not require computations be performed in order to produce a correct answer. The examinations are not cumulative. Each examination is graded on a scale ranging from 0 to 100 points.

Participation

Students are expected to attend each and **every** class. **All** required reading assignments must be read **PRIOR** to the class date for when they are assigned, and the students must discuss the readings in an informed and thoughtful manner. In other words, attendance is defined as physical and mental presence.

The instructor may, at his discretion, add or subtract up to 5% of the total points possible for participation. Students meeting or exceeding the expectations may gain participation points, while students failing to meet expectations may lose points.

Grading Scale

Grade	Percentage
A	90%-100%
B+	85%-89%
B	80%-84%
C+	75%-79%
C	70%-74%
Failure	0%-69%

Policy

You are adults, I do not take roll. I shall, however, pass on the benefit of my experience. There is a substantial positive correlation between attendance and course performance.

All work must be turned in during class on the day in which it is due. Extensions may only be granted before the due date, and will only be granted for (what the instructor believes to be) valid reasons. The instructor may refuse to accept late work. Generally, I do **not** give make-up examinations, accept late work, or give incompletes. I realize that in **rare cases** they are necessary. If circumstances should arise that cause you to miss an examination, submit a paper late, or need an incomplete, then it is your responsibility to contact me and make the request. In

the absence of a request you will receive a zero on the assignment or examination, and receive a grade in lieu of the incomplete.

If caught engaging in academic dishonesty in this course you will receive a zero in the course and be reported to the CCI Associate Dean. Lest there be misunderstanding, the University of Tennessee policy on academic dishonesty is reproduced in subsequent paragraphs from Hilltopics.

An essential feature of The University of Tennessee is a commitment to maintaining an atmosphere of intellectual integrity and academic honesty. As a student of the University, I pledge that I will neither knowingly give nor receive any inappropriate assistance in academic work, thus affirming my own personal commitment to honor and integrity.

Students are also responsible for any act of plagiarism. Plagiarism is using the intellectual property or product of someone else without giving proper credit. The undocumented use of someone else's words or ideas in any medium of communication (unless such information is recognized as common knowledge) is a serious offense, subject to disciplinary action that may include failure in a course and/or dismissal from the University. Specific examples of plagiarism are:

1. Copying without proper documentation (quotation marks and a citation) written or spoken words, phrases, or sentences from any source;
2. Summarizing without proper documentation (usually a citation) ideas from another source (unless such information is recognized as common knowledge);
3. Borrowing facts, statistics, graphs, pictorial representations, or phrases without acknowledging the source (unless such information is recognized as common knowledge);
4. Collaborating on a graded assignment without the instructor's approval;
5. Submitting work, either in whole or in part, created by a professional service and used without attribution (e.g., paper, speech, bibliography, or photograph).

Faculty members also have responsibilities which are vital to the success of the Honor Statement and the creation of a climate of academic integrity within the University community. Each faculty member is responsible for defining, in specific terms, guidelines for preserving academic integrity in a course. Included in this definition should be a discussion of the Honor Statement.

Student classroom conduct, including academic dishonesty, is the immediate responsibility of the instructor. He/she has full authority to suspend a student from his/her class, to assign an "F" in an exercise or examination, or to assign an "F" in the course. In addition to or prior to establishing a penalty, the instructor may refer the case to an Academic Review Board by notifying the administrative head of his/her academic unit and the Office of the Dean of Students, which shall prepare and present the case to the appropriate Academic Review Board.

For more detail than what is possible here please refer back to Hilltopics.

Approximate Assignment Schedule

Assignment	Points	Due
Problem Set 1	10	31 st August
Problem Set 2	10	12 th September
Problem Set 3	10	19 th September
Problem Set 4	10	26 th September
Problem Set 5	10	3 rd October
Problem Set 6	10	10 th October
Midterm Exam	100	12 th October
Problem Set 7	10	24 th October
Problem Set 8	10	31 st October
Problem Set 9	10	7 th November
Problem Set 10	10	14 th November
Problem Set 11	10	21 st November
Problem Set 12	10	28 th November
Final Exam	100	7 th December
Total	320	

Approximate Schedule

Week 1

17th August

Topic: Hypothesis types and statistics; The summation symbol
Readings: Handouts (see Blackboard)

Week 2

22nd August

Topic: Overview of Basic Statistics
Readings: Hays – Ch. 4, 5, & 6

24th August

Topic: Overview of Basic Statistics
Readings: Hays – Ch. 4, 5, & 6

Week 3

29th August

Topic: Hypothesis testing and significance tests
Readings: Hays – Ch. 7; Salovey – Ch. 8

31st August

Topic: Testing relationships: Correlation and regression
Readings: Cohen et al. – Ch. 1 & 2; MacCallum et al.
PS #1 Due

Week 4

7th September

Topic: Testing relationships: Correlation and regression
Readings: Cohen et al. – Ch. 1 & 2; MacCallum et al.

Week 5		
	12 th September	<i>Topic:</i> Testing relationships: Multiple regression <i>Readings:</i> Cohen et al. – Ch. 3, 4, 5, & 6 <i>PS #2 Due</i>
	14 th September	<i>Topic:</i> Testing relationships: Multiple regression <i>Readings:</i> Cohen et al. – Ch. 3, 4, 5, & 6
Week 6		
	19 th September	<i>Topic:</i> Testing relationships: Multiple regression <i>Readings:</i> Cohen et al. – Ch. 3, 4, 5, & 6 <i>PS # 3 Due</i>
	21 st September	<i>Topic:</i> Testing relationships: Multiple regression <i>Readings:</i> Cohen et al. – Ch. 3, 4, 5, & 6
Week 7		
	26 th September	<i>Topic:</i> Testing relationships: Interactions among continuous variables <i>Readings:</i> Cohen et al. – Ch. 7 <i>PS #4 Due</i>
	28 th September	<i>Topic:</i> Testing relationships: Categorical independent variables <i>Readings:</i> Cohen et al. – Ch. 8
Week 8		
	3 rd October	<i>Topic:</i> Testing relationships: Interactions with categorical variables <i>Readings:</i> Cohen et al. – Ch. 9 <i>PS #5 Due</i>
	5 th October	<i>Topic:</i> Testing relationships: Outliers and multicollinearity <i>Readings:</i> Cohen et al. – Ch. 10
Week 9		
	10 th October	<i>Topic:</i> TBA <i>PS #6 Due</i>
	12 th October	<i>Midterm Exam</i>
Week 10		
	17 th October	<i>Topic:</i> Comparing two groups: t-tests <i>Readings:</i> Hays – Ch. 8
	19 th October	<i>Topic:</i> Comparing many groups: Single factor (one-way) ANOVA <i>Readings:</i> Keppel & Wickens – Ch. 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, & 8

Week 11		
	24 th October	<i>Topic:</i> Comparing many groups: Single factor (one-way) ANOVA <i>Readings:</i> Keppel & Wickens – Ch. 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, & 8 <i>PS #7 Due</i>
	26 th October	<i>Topic:</i> Comparing many groups: Single factor (one-way) ANOVA <i>Readings:</i> Keppel & Wickens – Ch. 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, & 8
Week 12		
	31 st October	<i>Topic:</i> Comparing many groups: Single factor (one-way) ANOVA <i>Readings:</i> Keppel & Wickens – Ch. 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, & 8 <i>PS #8 Due</i>
	2 nd November	<i>Topic:</i> Comparing many groups: Two-way factorial ANOVA <i>Readings:</i> Keppel & Wickens – Ch. 10, 11, 12, & 13
Week 13		
	7 th November	<i>Topic:</i> Comparing many groups: Two-way factorial ANOVA <i>Readings:</i> Keppel & Wickens – Ch. 10, 11, 12, & 13 <i>PS #9 Due</i>
	9 th November	<i>Topic:</i> Comparing many groups: Within-subject ANOVA <i>Readings:</i> Keppel & Wickens – Ch. 16, 17, 18, 19, & 20
Week 14		
	14 th November	<i>Topic:</i> Comparing many groups: Within-subject ANOVA <i>Readings:</i> Keppel & Wickens – Ch. 16, 17, 18, 19, & 20 <i>PS #10 Due</i>
	16 th November	<i>Topic:</i> Comparing many groups: Within-subject ANOVA <i>Readings:</i> Keppel & Wickens – Ch. 16, 17, 18, 19, & 20
Week 15		
	21 st November	<i>Topic:</i> The general linear model <i>Readings:</i> Keppel & Wickens – Ch. 14 <i>PS #11 Due</i>
	23 rd November	<i>Topic:</i> Chi-square tests <i>Readings:</i> Hays – Ch. 18
Week 16		
	28 th November	<i>Topic:</i> TBA <i>PS #12 Due</i>
Week 17		
	7 th December	<i>Final Exam</i> <i>2:45p – 4:45p</i>